Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of N‑Heterocyclic Chlorides and Bromides with Arylmagnesium Reagents

2012 Vol. 14, No. 18 4818–4821

ORGANIC **LETTERS**

Olesya M. Kuzmina,† Andreas K. Steib,† Dietmar Flubacher,‡ and Paul Knochel*,†

Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377 München, Germany, and Novartis Pharma AG , Novartis Campus, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

Paul.Knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de

Received August 1, 2012

A simple, practical iron salt catalyzed procedure allows fast cross-couplings of N-heterocyclic chlorides and bromides with various electron-rich and -poor arylmagnesium reagents. A solvent mixture of THF and *t*BuOMe is found to be essential for achieving high yields mainly by avoiding homocoupling side reactions.

Fe-catalyzed cross-couplings have received a lot of attention due to the environmentally friendly properties of iron salts combined with their moderate prices.¹ Whereas alkylaryl,² alkyl-alkenyl,³ aryl-alkenyl,^{3b,c,4} and alkynyl⁵ coupling reactions are well documented, the corresponding aryl-aryl cross-couplings are much more challenging due to the formation of homocoupling products^{2a,6,7} or to the need for additional copper salts.⁸The use of iron fluorides in combination with carbene ligands improves such aryl—aryl cross-couplings dramatically as shown by M. Nakamura.⁹ The cross-coupling of N-heterocyclic halides (chlorides or bromides) with arylmagnesium reagents is of special importance due to the potential biological activity of the resulting arylated heterocycles. For such reactions only a

[†] Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München.

[‡] Novartis Pharma AG.

⁽¹⁾ For selected reviews on iron-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Bolm, C.; Legros, J.; Le Paih, J.; Zani, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217. (b) Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2081. (c) Fürstner, A.; Martin, R. Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 624. (d) Enthaler, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3363. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3317. (e) Sherry, B. D.; Fürstner, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500. (f) Correa, A.; Mancheño, O. G.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1108. (g) Plietker, B. Iron Catalysis in Organic Chemistry: Reactions and Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008. (h) Bolm, C. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 420. (i) Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 1390. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1364. (j) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Cvengroš, J.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. ChemSusChem 2009, 2, 396. (k) Nakamura, E.; Yoshikai, N. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6061. For the role of metal contaminants in iron catalysis, see: (l) Buchwald, S. L.; Bolm, C. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 5694. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5586. (m) Thome, I.; Nijs, A.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 979.

^{(2) (}a) Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 632. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 609. (b) Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Méndez, M.; Krause, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13856. (c) Martin, R.; Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 4045. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3955. (d) Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T.Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1297. (e) Nakamura,M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3686. (f) Bedford, R. B.; Bruce, D. W.; Frost, R. M.; Goodby, J. W.; Hird, M. Chem. Commun. 2004, 40, 2822. (g) Nakamura, M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. Synlett 2005, 11, 1794. (h) Bedford, R. B.; Betham, M.; Bruce, D. W.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Frost, R. M.; Hird, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1104. (i) Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 4442. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4364. (j) Fürstner, A.; Martin, R.; Krause, H.; Seidel, G.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8773. (k) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 616. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 607. (l) Bedford, R. B.; Huwe, M.; Wilkinson, M. C. Chem. Commun. 2009, 45, 600. (m) Cahiez, G.; Foulgoc, L.; Moyeux, A. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 3013. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2969. (n) Noda,D.; Sunada, Y.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M.; Nagashima, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6078. (o) Ito, S.; Fujiwara, Y.-i.; Nakamura, E.; Nakamura, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4306. (p) Gøgsig, T. M.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Skrydstrup, T.Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4886. (q) Kawamura, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Takaya, H.; Nakamura, M. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6054. (r) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kondo, Y.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seike, H.; Takaya, H.; Tamada, Y.; Ono, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10674. (s) Steib, A. K.; Thaler, T.; Komeyama, K.; Mayer, P.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 3361. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3303. (t) Yamaguchi, Y.; Ando, H.; Nagaya, M.; Hinago, H.; Ito, T.; Asami, M. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 983. (u) Jin, M.; Nakamura, M. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1012. (v) Hatakeyama, T.; Fujiwara, Y.-i.; Okada, Y.; Itoh, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kawamura, S.; Ogata, K.; Takaya, H.; Nakamura, M. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1030.

few examples have been reported and no general crosscoupling method has been available.^{2a,b,9,10} Herein, we describe an efficient iron-catalyzed cross-coupling between N-heterocyclic chlorides and bromides with various arylmagnesium reagents using a simple iron salt as the catalyst system.

In preliminary experiments, we have examined the crosscoupling between 2-chloropyridine $(1a)$ and PhMgCl $(2a)$ (Scheme 1). Thus, catalytic amounts (5 mol %) of various iron salts such as $Fe (acac)_2$, $Fe (acac)_3$, or the related $Fe(TMHD)$ ₃ (TMHD = 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedionate; entries $1-3$ of Table 1) and iron halides such as FeCl₂, FeCl₃, FeBr₂, or FeBr₃ (entries $4-7$) as well as

Scheme 1. Cross-Coupling of Pyridyl Chloride (1a) with PhMgCl (2a) in the Presence of Various Fe-Salts 1) Fe-salt (5 mol %) $2)$ PhMgCl (2a, 2.3 equiv) solvent, rt 3a 1a

Table 1. Optimization of the Conditions for Reaction of Pyridyl Chloride (1a) with PhMgCl (2a) Catalyzed by Fe-Salts

entry	Fe -salt ^a	solvent	reaction $time^b$	yield $(\%)^c$
1	Fe (acac)	THF	2 _h	46
$\overline{2}$	Fe (acac) ₃	THF	2 _h	55
3	$Fe(TMHD)_3$	THF	2 _h	53
$\overline{4}$	FeCl ₂	THF	5 h	56
5	FeCl ₃	THF	2 _h	55
6	FeBr ₂	THF	2 _h	62
7	FeBr ₃	THF	1.5h	63
8	$Fe(OTf)_{3}$	THF	5 h	60
9	FeF ₂	THF	20 _h	traces^d
10	FeF ₃	THF	20 _h	traces^d
11	$\rm Fe I_{2}$	THF	20 _h	traces^d
12	FeBr ₃	THF/NMP ^e	2 _h	traces
13	FeBr ₃	n -hexane	2 _h	53
14	FeBr ₃	toluene	1.5 h	14
15	FeBr ₃	Et ₂ O	1.5h	$73,87^{f}(84)^{f}$
16	FeBr ₃	t -BuOMe	1.5h	$75,85^{f}(82)^{f}$

 a ⁴ 5 mol $\%$ of Fe-salt was used. b Reaction time until reaction completion according to GC analysis. ^cCalibrated GC yield using undecane $(C_{11}H_{24})$ as internal standard. Numbers in brackets indicate isolated yields $\frac{d}{dx}$ Starting material was not consumed even after 20 h. e A mixture of THF/NMP (5:1) was used. The reaction of PhMgCl with NMP was dominant. f_3 mol % of FeBr₃ was used.

(3) (a) Tamura,M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1487. (b) Fabre, J.-L.; Julia, M.; Verpeaux, J.-N. Tetrahedron. Lett. 1982, 23, 2469. (c) Scheiper, B.; Bonnekessel, M.; Krause, H.; Fürstner, A. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3943. (d) Cahiez, G.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3253. (e) Guerinot, A.; Reymond, S.; Cossy, J. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6641. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6521. (f) Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Gager, O. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2389. (g) Hatakeyama, T.; Nakagawa, N.; Nakamura, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4496. (h) Li, B.-J.; Xu, L.; Wu, Z.-H.; Guan, B.-T.; Sun, C.-L.; Wang, B.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14656. (i) Nishikado, H.; Nakatsuji, H.; Ueno, K.; Nagase, R.; Tanabe, Y. Synlett 2010, 14, 2087. (j) Hashimoto, T.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1168.

 $Fe(OTf)$ ₃ (entry 8) gave only moderate yields of the desired cross-coupling product $3a(46-63%)$ in THF at rt. Also, the use of iron fluorides and iodide led to only traces of product at rt (entries $9-11$). Polar cosolvents such as NMP (N-methylpyrrolidone) hampered the cross-coupling (entry 12). Nonpolar solvents, e.g., n-hexane or toluene, did not display any considerable improvement (entries $13-14$).¹¹ However, ethereal solvents such as diethyl ether or tBuOMe dramatically increased the GC yield up to 87% affording after isolation the arylated pyridine 3a in 84% yield (entries 15-16). Since comparable yields are obtained using t BuOMe or Et₂O, we have pursued our investigations using the industry-friendly solvent tBuOMe. The use of such ethereal solvents proved to be a key determinant and allowed us to extend this crosscoupling to various other N-heterocycles. In order to study the reaction scope, we have first varied the N-heterocyclic chlorides or bromides and determined their reactions with PhMgCl $(2a)$ in tBuOMe at rt.¹² Thus, we observed that

Table 2. Scope of Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of N -Heteroarylchlorides/-bromides (1a-1j) with PhMgCl (2a)

$entry^a$	substrate	reaction time	product	yield $(\%)^b$
	X		Ph	
$\mathbf{1}$	$1a: X = Cl$	1.5h	3a	82
\overline{c}	$1b: X = Br$	70 min	3a	83
	Me СI		Мe Ph	
3	1 _c	$2\ \mathrm{h}$	3 _b	84
4	CI. Br N		CI. Ph N	
	1 _d CO ₂ tBu CI	70 min	3c CO ₂ tBu Ph	78
5	1e	5 min	3d	60
	Ń CI		Ph N	
6	1f ċı	5 min	3e Ρh	88
$\overline{7}$	1 _g	5 min	3f	90
	Me Me N СI		Me 'N Me Ph Ν	
8	1 _h	$2\ \mathrm{h}$	3g	76
9	СI N ۶Ń OMe 1i C ₁	5 _h	Ph N ∠Ń OMe 3 _h Ph	22^c
10	1j	$3\ \mathrm{h}$	3i	24 ^c

 a ^aThe reaction was performed on a 1 mmol scale with 3 mol $\%$ of FeBr₃ in THF/tBuOMe (ca. 2:5) at rt. ^b Isolated yield. ^c GC yield.

Table 3. Iron-Catalyzed Cross-couplings of N-Heteroarylchlorides/-bromides with Various Grignard Reagents

^aThe reaction was performed on a 1 mmol scale with 3 mol % of FeBr₃ in THF/tBuOMe (ca. 2:5) at rt. ^b Isolated yield.

2-bromopyridine (1b) reacted with PhMgCl at a faster rate for completion than 2-chloropyridine (70 min instead of 90 min) and produced 3a in the same yield (83%, entry 2 of Table 2). Substituted bromo- or chloropyridines such as

2-chloro-4-picoline (1c) and 2-bromo-5-chloropyridine (1d) reacted smoothly with similar reaction times leading to the pyridines $3b$ and $3c$ in $78-84\%$ yield (entries 3 and 4). Interestingly, the presence of a tert-butoxycarbonyl group in position 3 (1e) dramatically increased the reaction rate leading to full conversion within 5 min (entry 5). The crosscoupling product 3d was isolated in 60% yield. No starting chloride was detected, and the relatively moderate yield may be due to a polymerization of 1e. The annulation of the pyridine ring with a benzene moiety also accelerated the reaction rate, and the cross-couplings of PhMgCl with

^{(4) (}a) Molander, G. A.; Rahn, B. J.; Shubert, D. C.; Bonde, S. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 5449. (b) Itami, K.; Higashi, S.; Mineno, M.; Yoshida, J.-i. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1219.

^{(5) (}a) Hatakeyama, T.; Yoshimoto, Y.; Gabriel, T.; Nakamura, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5341. (b) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. ChemCatChem 2011, 3, 135. (c) Hatakeyama, T.; Okada, Y.; Yoshimoto, Y.; Nakamura, M. Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 11204. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10973.

2-chloroquinoline (1f) or 1-chloroisoquinoline (1g) were completed in 5 min and gave the expected phenylated N -heterocycles 3e and 3f in 88–90% yield (entries 6 and 7). The cross-coupling was also extended to diazines. Whereas the 2-chloropyrimidine derivative 1h reacted with PhMgCl within 2 h providing the arylated pyrimidine 3g in 76% yield (entry 8), the more sensitive chloropyridazine 1i and -pyrazine $1j$ required $3-5$ h for the reaction to go to completion but led to the phenylated products in only $22-24\%$ yields (entries 9 and 10).¹³

We have then varied the nature of the Grignard reagent¹⁴ using typical N-heterocyclic chlorides and bromides (1b, 1f, 1g) as electrophiles (Table 3). In all cases, the Fe-catalyzed cross-couplings were fast (2 min to 5 h) and led to complete conversion. Both electron-rich and -poor substituents can be present in the Grignard reagent. We have examined first the substitution pattern of the arylmagnesium reagent and have found that ortho-, meta-, and para-substituted Grignard reagents can be used. Whereas m -TolMgBr \cdot LiCl (2b) and p-TolMgBr \cdot LiCl (2c) react at similar rates as the unsubstituted magnesium reagent, the presence of an *ortho*-methyl substituent in o -TolMgBr \cdot LiCl (2d) reduced the reaction rate (compare entry 3 of Table 3 with entry 6 of Table 2). However, in all cases excellent yields $(80-93\%;$ entries $1-3$ of Table 3) were obtained. Various electron-poor substituents such as a trifluoromethyl group (as in 3-trifluoromethyl- magnesium bromide 2e and in 3,5-ditrifluorophenylmagnesium bromide 2f; entries $4-6$, a fluorine group (as in 4-fluorophenylmagnesium bromide 2g; entries 7 and 8), and a chlorine group (as in 2h; entry 9) were well tolerated in the cross-couplings providing the expected products in $66-92\%$ yields (entries $4-9$). Interestingly, also electronrich substituents such as methoxy (see reagents 2i and 2j; entries $10-12$), methylenedioxy (see reagent 2k; entry 13), and pivalate groups (OPiv; see reagent 2l; entry 14) were compatible with rapid iron-catalyzed cross-couplings. The more sensitive Boc-protected Grignard reagent 2m also smoothly underwent cross-coupling with 2-chloroquinoline leading to the 2-arylated quinoline 3x in 84% yield (entry 15). An amino substituent did not disturb the crosscoupling, and the Grignard reagent 2n reacted with 1f within 5 min providing the product 3y in 82% yield (entry 16).

Even though the mechanism of this cross-coupling could not yet be elucidated, we noticed that the use of Fe(II) or Fe(III) salt led to similar results. Reducing the Fe(III) catalyst in situ with iPrMgCl prior to cross-coupling deactivated the catalytic system and hampered the coupling reaction. The use of an apolar cosolvent such as tBuOMe was found to be vital to achieving high yields mainly by avoiding homocoupling products.

In summary, we have developed a new practical ironcatalyzed $sp^2 - sp^2$ cross-coupling between N-heterocyclic chlorides or bromides and various arylmagnesium reagents. This cross-coupling reaction tolerates several electronwithdrawing and -rich functionalities, such as dimethylamino, tert-butoxyoxycarbonyl (OBoc), or methoxy groups. Further studies to increased the reaction scope as well as mechanistic investigations are currently underway in our laboratories.

Acknowledgment. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) ERC Grant Agreement No. 227763. We thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support and are grateful to BASF AG and Chemetall GmbH for the generous gift of chemicals. O.M.K. thanks the Novartis Pharma AG for financial support.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and characterization data of all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽⁶⁾ For Fe-catalyzed homocoupling reactions, see: (a) Kharasch, M. S.; Fields, E. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1941, 63, 2316. (b) Felkin, H.; Meunier, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 146, 169. (c) Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 491. (d) Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Mahuteau-Betzer, F.; Ahr, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1943. (e) Xu, X.; Cheng, D.; Pei, W. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6637. (f) Liu, W.; Lei, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 49, 610. (g) Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A.; Buendia, J.; Duplais, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13788.

⁽⁷⁾ The term "homocoupling" refers only to the homocoupling of the Grignard reagent.

^{(8) (}a) Sapountzis, I.; Lin, W.; Kofink, C. C.; Despotopoulou, C.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1682. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1654. (b) Kofink, C. C.; Blank, B.; Pagano, S.; Gotz, N.; Knochel, P. € Chem. Commun. 2007, 1954.

^{(9) (}a) Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9844. (b) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11949.

^{(10) (}a) Quintin, J.; Franck, X.; Hocquemiller, R.; Figadere, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3547. (b) Korn, T. J.; Cahiez, G.; Knochel, P. Synlett 2003, 12, 1892. (c) Boully, L.; Darabantu, M.; Turck, A.; Ple, N. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2005, 42, 1423.

⁽¹¹⁾ The low yields in entries $1-14$ of Table 1 are due to the fact that the reaction conversion never reaches 100% for these substrates

⁽¹²⁾ Since PhMgCl is prepared in THF, the cross-coupling reaction is in fact performed in a mixture of THF and tBuOMe (ca. 2:5).

⁽¹³⁾ The use of other heterocyclic halides, such as 3- and 4-chloropyridine, 2-chlorothiophene, or 2-bromofuran, as well as standard haloarenes resulted in only low yields.

⁽¹⁴⁾ The Grignard reagents were prepared by LiCl-mediated Mg insertion; see: Piller, F. M.; Metzger, A.; Schade, M. A.; Haag, B. A.;
Gavryushin, A.; P. Knochel, P. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2009, 15, 7192.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.